Docusign Envelope ID: D6DEEC7A-AE48-8447-83AC-48B0647DA13C

MINUTES OF MEETING
SHINGLE CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Shingle Creek Community Development District was held on Monday, **April 6, 2026** at 11:00 a.m. at the Oasis Club at ChampionsGate, 1520 Oasis Club Blvd., ChampionsGate, FL.

Present and constituting a quorum:

Rob Bonin	Chairman
Adam Morgan	Vice Chairman
Dan Navarra	Assistant Secretary
Matthew Walton	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Jeremy LeBrun	District Manager, GMS
Kristen Trucco	District Counsel, LLEB
Rey Malave	District Engineer, Dewberry
Chace Arrington *by phone*	District Engineer, Dewberry
Joey Duncan *by phone*	District Engineer, Dewberry
Dylan Schwartz *by phone*	MBS Capital Markets
Alan Scheerer	Field Manager
Karly Chambers	GMS

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS — Roll Call

Mr. LeBrun called the meeting to order and called the roll. Four Supervisors were in attendance constituting a quorum.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS — Public Comment Period

Mr. LeBrun: That brings us down to our public comment period. No members of the public present, just Board and staff.

Docusign Envelope ID: D6DEEC7A-AE48-8447-83AC-48B0647DA13C

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS Organizational Matters

A. Appointment of Individuals to Fulfill Vacancies in Seat #4 & #5

Mr. LeBrun: This brings us down to our organizational matters. This was brought over from your previous meeting. We're still looking for resident Board members for those two seats, four and five. If anyone knows of anyone that still wants to serve, please let us know and send them our way and we'll get them on there. Anyone have any as of now? We'll keep that on there. We'll continue looking to fill those vacant seats.

B. Consideration of Letters of Interest/Resumes

C. Administration of Oaths of Office to Newly Appointed Board Members

D. Election of Officers

E. Consideration of Resolution 2026-03 Electing Officers

Mr. LeBrun: Items A through E will be tabled to a future meeting agenda.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Approval of Minutes of the February 2, 2026 Board of Supervisors Meeting

Mr. LeBrun: Item number four is approval of the minutes of the February 2, 2026 Board of Supervisors meeting. If there are no revisions or changes, I will ask for a motion to approve the minutes.

> On MOTION by Mr. Morgan, seconded by Mr. Navarra, with all in favor, the Minutes of the February 2, 2026 Board of Supervisors Meeting, were approved.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Consideration of Resolution 2026-04 Approving Proposed Fiscal Year 2027 Budget and Setting a Public Hearing

Mr. LeBrun: Item number five is consideration of Resolution 2026-04, approving the proposed Fiscal Year 2027 budget and setting a public hearing. Each year the District is required to adopt a budget. This resolution is setting that public hearing. This is for your August 3, 2026 meeting. Today we'll look at a proposed budget, and the Board will approve a proposed budget. At your August meeting is when you adopt the official Fiscal Year 2027 budget. That resolution is just stating that date as that designated time to adopt the budget. I'll walk the Board through the budget and then if you have any questions, feel free to ask as we go through it. The budget starts

Docusign Envelope ID: D6DEEC7A-AE48-8447-83AC-48B0647DA13C

on page 25 of your electronic agendas. This budget is set up for no assessment increases. None of the lot owners are going to have an assessment increase. The staff went through, looked at actuals up through the end of February. Any new contracts that we're aware of, any other things that could affect the budget, have been factored into this version. We were able to keep everything level. If you go on to page 26, you'll see your assessment chart listed there and it just shows your property types, the 2026 assessment, 2027 assessment, and then reflecting no increase for residents and lot owners. That's good news for this year. We've been able to keep them steady for several years. That's always the goal. Starting on page 29, you'll see your narrative. This is really good if you're new to the budget or if you have questions, this shows you what each line item is. Any explanation for the various costs are listed there. You also see a capital reserve fund on page 33. That's a reserve fund that's dedicated for any capital projects or repairs. Shingle Creek has a very healthy capital reserve fund listed there. You'll see anticipated interest from the accounts that it's currently sitting in, which is really good, $24,000 generated in just interest alone, and then a transfer in is allocated there as well. On that same page, page 33 towards the bottom, you'll see some anticipated Fiscal Year 2027 capital expenses mainly related to landscape. That was what they provided Alan.

Mr. Morgan: What page is that on?

Mr. LeBrun: Page 33 of the PDF. Then at the bottom you'll see there's some Down to Earth, Inc. line items there.

Mr. Scheerer: It's basically just dealing with some construction damage that we're anticipating out by the Osceola Parkway and some additional enhancement and replacements that the entrance, the entrance is pretty bare.

Mr. Morgan: What about frost, freeze damage?

Mr. Scheerer: Yeah, frost and freeze damage. We have a little bit with the dwarf ixora and maybe some Loropetalum. But other than that, the main entrance is where most of the damage is as far as the dwarf ixoras go, so we'll be replacing that.

Mr. Morgan: One of the other things that we're probably going to have to consider for this budget year is we are getting ready to receive a letter of violation from the Water Management District. They gave me a heads up that they have forgotten to do their inspections the last six or eight years. They went out and brought the entire staff. They evidently had a crew of 20 people roaming over the property and have written up a giant list of exotics that they want us to control on District property. I told the District that I thought the whole reason that we had London Creek

Docusign Envelope ID: D6DEEC7A-AE48-8447-83AC-48B0647DA13C

was that we paid forty something thousand dollars a year to control exotics and manage that mitigation bank, and that everything, all the wetland and comp storage areas that were permitted on property, didn't have that maintenance part. They had yet to send me part of the permit that states that. But they say it fairly clearly states that we have to maintain exotics on property. So, the woman has stated that it's quite an extensive letter and it's taken them a while to put it together, and they were improperly identifying parcels of property that belong to Osceola County as CDD property. I straightened them out on that. I think they probably have the proper properties identified and she's going to forward it to me. But from the sound of it, Alan, it looks like that might be another line item that we're going to have to add out of capital reserve because it's going to be pretty extensive. So just to give the Board a heads up.

Mr. Scheerer: Okay.

Mr. Walton: Brazilian peppers?

Mr. Morgan: Believe it or not, that's typically not one that's listed. It's a bunch of other stuff. Sometimes they list Brazilian peppers. I think Florida gave up on Brazilian peppers.

Mr. Scheerer: Primrose is usually the big one.

Mr. Morgan: Primrose, ivy, vines.

Mr. Scheerer: Yeah. It sounds like we're going to have to get a hold of the qualifying company at some point.

Mr. Morgan: Yeah, it'll be the people that spray, probably that spray for London Creek.

Mr. Scheerer: Okay.

Mr. Morgan: Which is now Modica, they're the most qualified. We already have them on staff.

Mr. Scheerer: We have a capital reserve.

Mr. Morgan: I'm just giving everybody a heads up that this is coming.

Ms. Trucco: We probably want the engineer to take a look at the specific permit, and I'm happy to as well. But I ran into a similar issue in a different CDD and noticed a couple of the same things you just mentioned. It's worth taking a look at it.

Mr. Morgan: The main thing is they had a ton of property that wasn't CDD labeled as CDD.

Mr. LeBrun: And as you said, the good news is our capital reserve generates a lot of interest each year. We can absorb that cost and then going forward, like the 2028 budget, we can just add a line item there.

Docusign Envelope ID: D6DEEC7A-AE48-8447-83AC-48B0647DA13C

Mr. Morgan: That’s all I had to add about the budget.

Mr. Navarra: The additional enhancements you said that was at the main entrance. What do you call the main entrance?

Mr. Scheerer: The Osceola Parkway.

Mr. Navarra: Right onto Storey Lake?

Mr. Scheerer: Yes, onto Storey Lake.

Mr. Navarra: Okay, so we're not intending to do any of that work until that construction?

Mr. Scheerer: Yeah, we're on hold right now. But we'll be cleaning it up and removing a lot of the dead plants. It's going to look bare. I know they got that apartment complex right there at the entrance that they're going to be doing some work on, so we just want to be mindful of where we spend your money and make sure it’s not going to get messed up again.

Mr. LeBrun: Any questions on the proposed budget? Like I said, no assessment increases.

Mr. Morgan: And once again, this is a proposed budget. We still have time to finagle it if you guys see something.

Ms. Trucco: You just can't increase the assessment, today you’re setting the cap.

On MOTION by Mr. Morgan, seconded by Mr. Bonin, with all in favor, Resolution 2026-04 Approving Proposed Fiscal Year 2027 Budget and Setting a Public Hearing on August 3, 2026, was approved.

Mr. LeBrun: Staff will advertise that per state statute and get that proposed budget on the website.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Review and Acceptance of Fiscal Year 2025 Audit Report**

Mr. LeBrun: Item number six is review and acceptance of the Fiscal Year 2025 audit report. Each year the District is required to undergo an independent third-party audit. The District contracts with Grau & Associates as the auditor. It’s a very lengthy audit report. I'll start with it's a clean audit. There was no findings, which is great news. The auditor usually writes a summary letter to the Board of Supervisors and that's on page 66. Usually, I point Board members to this letter because it basically summarizes it for the Board. Towards the end of that letter it says in our opinion, the District complied in all material respects with the aforementioned requirements for

Docusign Envelope ID: D6DEEC7A-AE48-8447-83AC-48B0647DA13C

the fiscal year ending September 30, 2025. So, it is a clean audit. Great news. This gets then sent to the state as well. Happy to take any questions on it. If not, just need a motion to accept that audit.

> On MOTION by Mr. Morgan, seconded by Mr. Bonin, with all in favor, Accepting the Fiscal Year 2025 Audit Report, was approved.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Discussion of Series 2025 Bonds Refinance**

Mr. LeBrun: Next we have the discussion of the Series 2015 Bond Refinance. The Board will recall several meetings back there was the opportunity to refund or refinance the Series 2015 bonds due to interest rates. And we have Dylan on the phone with MBS. In your agenda you have the most recent summary sheet that outlines the current bond terms and then the proposed bond terms. I spoke with a couple Supervisors separately to see if this would be something they would be interested in bringing back to the Board to have MBS show what the new information is. That is on page 70. Dylan, did you want to walk the Board through it briefly?

Mr. Schwartz: Yeah, that would be great. We spoke with you guys a few times back in June, August and also October. At that time the rates that were provided by banks in June of last year was around 4.5%. By the time we got around to getting a term sheet ready, rates went a little bit higher at 4.6% in October. The decision at the time was to wait and see what happened in the bond market in general and where rates would go because everybody was hopeful that rates would go lower. Bond interest rates across every bond market have been very sticky and have gone higher. And again, even more recently with the geopolitical stuff that's going on around the world have really gone significantly higher in the last few weeks. All that being said, the gentleman at Seacoast Bank with whom we were speaking back in October is very eager to get a deal done here. He's offered an extremely attractive rate of 4.25% on the new loan that would take out the old bonds. At the time that he offered that rate back in March, the US 20-year Treasury bond, which this is a 20-year loan and that's a 20-year loan and it’s the rate that the U.S. government borrows at, which is obviously seen as one of the safest credits in the world for lending, that was a 4.7% interest rate which was higher than the 4.6% interest rate that the US government was borrowing at in October when we last looked at this. The gentleman at TECO offered four and a quarter. In the last few weeks with what's going on in the Middle east, the treasury market, the muni markets

Docusign Envelope ID: D6DEEC7A-AE48-8447-83AC-48B0647DA13C

and all of the bond markets have seen interest rates go significantly higher. Last week it was 5% on the 20-year Treasury. Even given that increase of 0.3%, Seacoast held firm at their offer of four and a quarter which is showing how committed they are to making this deal and trying to make this as attractive as possible to you guys. I'm looking today at my terminal, the 20-year Treasury bond that the government for the U.S. borrows at is 4.9%. I've been doing this for seven years, I've worked on over $7 billion worth of CDD transactions, I've not seen an offer come across with an interest rate that's so significantly below the rate that the U.S. Government borrows at. I think from that perspective it's highly attractive. The one caveat is that Seacoast Bank would want to maintain a banking relationship with the general fund and your capital reserves to the tune of 100% of your deposits being with Seacoast Bank as long as Seacoast holds the bond. That being said, there would be no restrictions on you guys using those funds for any uses that are necessary. I heard some discussions earlier regarding your budget. Seacoast would not restrict you from being able to use those funds, they just want to hold them. They have a full suite of different product offerings. They're an FDIC insured bank, they're a public depository, they have all of the security and insurance necessary that any other depository that you would hold your deposits with would have, they're a publicly traded entity, they're a multibillion-dollar bank, we have done plenty of deals with them in the past. At the moment, any bank that's offering refinancing for CDD bonds is requiring this deposit relationship. I heard earlier in the meeting that you guys are expected to receive probably around $24,000 of interest on the funds that you have on deposit between your current banking relationship and also the SBA accounts that you guys have. The deposits at Seacoast would probably carry a slightly lower interest rate than what you have with SBA. You might lose maybe $3,000 or $4,000 in interest a year on your reserves. But with this refinancing of the bonds, you're saving about $200,000 per year in your special assessment taxes for your debt. It far outweighs what you would be losing in your interest on your deposits and you would expect a roughly 14% drop across the Board for every resident that's subject to these 2015 bonds on their debt special assessments. That's about $2,750,000 of savings over the life of bonds. Now, this deposit relationship that I mentioned would be as long as Seacoast holds the bonds. That being said, it does not necessarily mean that it's a 20-year requirement because these bonds will have a call period of five years. So the bonds cannot be refinanced if you move forward today with this until May 1 of 2031, which means that if rates are lower in 2031, you can refinance the bonds again, either with a public offering of bonds or a new bank, at which point, if you are able to do

Docusign Envelope ID: D6DEEC7A-AE48-8447-83AC-48B0647DA13C

that and Seacoast no longer owns the bonds, you would be able to move your deposit relationship as you see fit. It's at least a five-year period of locking up your deposits with Seacoast bank, and thereafter it's dependent on kind of where interest rates are at the time. If you're able to refinance again and Seacoast no longer holds the loan, then you would be able to move your deposits as you see fit.

Mr. Morgan: What’s the recommendation of staff?

Mr. LeBrun: George Flint reviewed this. Darrin Mossing, who's our company president, he reviewed this. They're okay with the Seacoast being the depositor. They have accounts with Seacoast in other Districts, so it's a reputable bank. The savings is considerable.

Mr. Morgan: It is.

Mr. LeBrun: That's why we wanted to bring it back. Long term this is a considerable amount of savings. There are additional protections that public depositories have that would go into keeping the District's money safe. There are different statutes and chapters that do that, but they supported this.

Mr. Morgan: What’s the residents feel?

Mr. Walton: I support it.

Mr. Navarra: I didn’t know how much of a pain in the butt it was going to be to do all this, but it certainly looks favorable to me.

Mr. Morgan: He said he spoke to you over the phone.

Mr. Navarra: Yes.

Mr. Morgan: Okay, good. So, both the residents support it.

Ms. Trucco: What I recommend is if you are for this, then a motion to approve subject to staff sign-off. Let us have an opportunity to take a closer look at the terms.

Mr. Morgan: And also, the fine print below it says rate will be set upon signing. I don't want them to slip in a five and a quarter at the time of signing.

Ms. Trucco: Right. It would be a motion to approve at this rate.

Mr. Schwartz: To that point, a term sheet was also actually provided. There is a term sheet that should be on the agenda and should be there today. To the extent you guys pass this motion today, you guys can sign the term sheet once your District attorney looks at it and signs off as well, so you will be set. They provided the term sheet, and it says four and a quarter. Once you sign that, you're locked in. And the next step would be we would prepare the bond documents; we would

Docusign Envelope ID: D6DEEC7A-AE48-8447-83AC-48B0647DA13C

come back at the June meeting with all of the bond documents for you guys to approve and sign and pre close, and we would close a couple days later. And all of this would be locked in by roughly June 5, June 6, and it's just in time for your public hearing for the budget, which would occur in August, and the savings would hit this November. When you receive your new tax bill in November, you'll already receive the lower interest rate and the lower assessment amount.

Mr. Morgan: This is FMS. They already handle our bonds, right?

Ms. Trucco: Yes.

Mr. Navarra: You think you can review it by tomorrow?

Ms. Trucco: Yeah, I'm just going to take a look at a couple terms, but I don't see an issue here.

Mr. Scheerer: You can use it for mitigation maintenance.

Mr. Morgan: Yeah, if the residents support it, then I support it.

Mr. Walton: Yeah, I would approve that.

Mr. Morgan: I would make a motion to approve subject to staff sign-off.

> On MOTION by Mr. Morgan, seconded by Mr. Walton, with all in favor, the Series 2015 Bonds Refinance Subject to Staff Sign-off, was approved.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Update on Development by Embrey Partners, LLC on Adjacent Tract**

Mr. LeBrun: Number eight, update on development by Embrey Partners, LLC.

Ms. Trucco: I can take that one. As you know, the adjacent tract to the CDD is being developed into approximately 240 apartment units there. That's moving forward. I've been back in contact with the attorney for the purchaser, which is Embrey Partners, LLC. You'll recall a couple years ago, this Board approved entering into a cost sharing agreement with Embrey Partners, LLC to the extent that they're receiving a benefit from the CDD's maintenance of Storey Lake Boulevard from their drainage into the CDD's stormwater ponds. Any benefit that they're receiving as a result of the CDDs regular maintenance projects, they will pay their proportionate share under a cost sharing agreement. The CDD Board agreed to that. They also agreed to the drainage easement because they're constructing these and they've asked to utilize the CDD's drainage stormwater system for drainage purposes for their units. They also approved a temporary construction

Docusign Envelope ID: D6DEEC7A-AE48-8447-83AC-48B0647DA13C

easement and a funding agreement as well, so that the CDD is not responsible for any cost for professional fees related to our analysis and review and drafting of the documents related to this project. In addition, the CDD agreed to petition a little parcel called RW4. It's a roadway piece. Actually, it's not paved. I think right now it's just a gravel dirt path. Basically, we're bringing a petition to contract the CDD to remove that piece. We don't currently charge O&M assessments. I think that was part of the Board's decision a couple years ago when they originally approved this project, or those documents related to this project, was that it's an opportunity to get cost sharing from the future owner and developer of that parcel that's adjacent to the CDD that will be receiving some benefit. Since that time, we've gotten confirmation that they will be installing a sidewalk there. I believe it's part of the county's requirements.

Mr. Morgan: They have to have access to their lift station. That’s that road.

Ms. Trucco: There's an easement there that was recorded a while ago. But that’s sorted out. I believe it's a platted easement right now. You can see that too if you pull up the plat for the lift station. I believe the county is requiring the sidewalk piece on Storey Lake Boulevard. So that's good.

Mr. Navarra: All the way to Reflections, if I recall, right?

Ms. Trucco: I don't know how far. I know it's at least that four-way intersection to Osceola Parkway, but I could get clarification from them on that.

Mr. Navarra: I think the existing sidewalk would go all the way to Osceola, if you’re going north.

Ms. Trucco: Yes, but I don’t know if it’s to Reflections. Let me see.

Mr. Scheerer: But that project will include the addition of a sidewalk because we currently have no sidewalks.

Ms. Trucco: Right, but he's asking how far down does it go starting at Osceola Boulevard, so I'll get an answer on that. Just before this Board meeting I forwarded everyone responses that I received from Embrey Partners, LLC's attorney because I did receive some questions from a resident about this development there, she has provided answers, and I forwarded that over. If you have any other questions, they're closing in May right now and we're proceeding. The petition to contract requires exhibits. I'm working with the District engineering firm because they have to prepare a legal description. I just want to remind you the CDD is not paying for any of the professional fees related to that. It's important that we make sure from an accounting standpoint

Docusign Envelope ID: D6DEEC7A-AE48-8447-83AC-48B0647DA13C

we're getting those invoices over to Embrey Partners, LLC for that. That's part of the funding agreement. But if you have any other questions about the development, now's an opportunity where I can get answers to those. I could try to get answers to them or if you want we can do a special meeting, and I can just show you. I'm waiting for comments from Embrey Partners, LLC for final comments on those agreements. We could hold a special meeting in two weeks before they close, just so you get another glance at them. I've said this before, unfortunately the CDD doesn't have any development approval rights. It's all through the county.

Mr. Navarra: Sure.

Ms. Trucco: It’s an opportunity for the CDD to get some cost sharing, I think. That’s a benefit to the CDD here.

Mr. Morgan: Very good.

Ms. Trucco: That’s where we’re at with it. Does anybody have questions for me?

Mr. Navarra: Would that property ultimately be a part of the CDD?

Ms. Trucco: No. It was actually originally part of the CDD, but there were multiple contractions here. The boundary was reduced over time since establishment. That was one of them. I think it may have been in 2018. That was one of the contractions that was done along the lines to remove that. It's Tract A. But they did say could you mind removing that little dirt road piece there. I think they're trying to contact the HOA attorney about a landscaping license agreement, something like that, because the county is requiring Embrey to provide some landscaping buffer area there. But I don't have anything really to do with the HOA, but I can help facilitate as much as I can.

Mr. Navarra: Sure.

Mr. Walton: Traffic is going to be crazy.

Ms. Trucco: I've heard that from residents. I can try to negotiate something with that, but it's really through the county. The CDD technically hasn't signed those agreements yet, but I think they are being required to do a turning lane.

Mr. Navarra: Yeah, they are doing turning lane, which is the thing that I wanted.

Ms. Trucco: I think the county required a transportation impact analysis test to be done and the results of that said it did not require this improvement and demonstrated that. The question was, will an additional westbound turning lane be added to the east before the light of Storey Lake and Osceola Parkway, where only one lane currently exists? They responded, no, the transportation

Docusign Envelope ID: D6DEEC7A-AE48-8447-83AC-48B0647DA13C

impact analysis did not require this improvement and demonstrated that the intersection will continue to operate at an exact level of service.

Mr. Morgan: They haven't been out there at five o'clock when the traffic backs up at that light all the way to the bridge.

Ms. Trucco: Do you have an idea of something that I could go back and suggest to her?

Mr. Walton: That timing on that light, when I leave to take my son to school, that light will turn within 15 seconds sometimes. I think they need to check the light and make sure that it's correct length and letting enough cars go.

Ms. Trucco: That would be the county.

Mr. Walton: Okay.

Ms. Trucco: They're responsive to feedback though from this Board. But I would say the county would be the place for that. But I can still bring that up to her.

Mr. Walton: And then the more use on that road, Storey Lake Boulevard, keeps getting potholes all the time and then they patch and whatnot.

Ms. Trucco: That will be transferred very soon here by deed. I'm waiting for the title work back by deed from the original developer to the CDD. The county has said they will not take that road.

Mr. Morgan: They don’t want the road. It will be our road.

Ms. Trucco: The good news is once it's in the CDD's name, we do not pay sales tax, and we don't pay real property tax to the county. So, when you're doing the repayment project, that will be sales tax free and we're not paying on an annual basis property tax. And also, then the residents have control of that road, and you can make specific requests to the county at that point until you have some leverage with them. The upcoming budget, you’ve already considered Storey Lake Boulevard being transferred to the CDD?

Mr. Scheerer: Well, it’s always been the CDD’s.

Ms. Trucco: Okay.

Mr. Scheerer: Yeah, we're doing pothole repairs like you said. I think I mentioned at the last meeting, we're starting to have to allocate funding for milling resurfacing at some point.

Mr. Morgan: That’s been anticipated for years.

Mr. Scheerer: Yeah. That road is a thoroughfare between 192 and the parkway.

Mr. Morgan: That’s why we wanted to give it to the county, but then they wouldn’t take it.

Docusign Envelope ID: D6DEEC7A-AE48-8447-83AC-48B0647DA13C

Ms. Trucco: They will not take it. One benefit too is now we've got Embrey Partners, LLC agreeing to contribute to the cost of Storey Lake Boulevard, so that's good. Otherwise, sometimes it gets kind of ugly trying to get adjacent owners to agree to enter into a cost share agreement. Luckily it hasn't gotten there with this CDD. We'll have assurances in writing with regard to that. We have worked with the District management company, the assessment methodologist over there, to compute for percentage of the budget on an annual basis that we will be asking the adjacent property owner to pay. The District engineer was also part of that project in determining what's the proper percentage to represent the benefit that they're receiving from the CDD's maintenance. If you think of something in between here, please reach out to me and I'll do my best to get answers or try to negotiate something additional, if that's what you need and want. Okay. Otherwise, I would say county.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Staff Reports

A. Attorney

Ms. Trucco: I don't have anything additional to report today.

B. Engineer

Mr. LeBrun: That brings us down to our engineer, Rey, Chace, or Joey do you have a report or any information for the Board?

Mr. Malave: Nothing to report.

C. District Manager's Report

i. Approval of Check Register

Mr. LeBrun: Down to our District manager report, we have our check register that is on page 78 of your electronic agenda. That is January 26, 2026 through March 30, 2026. You have checks from a general fund, #1091 through #1107. The total there is $196,102.84. Your payroll fund, you have checks #50082 through #50084. The total there is $554.10. The total check register, $196,656.94.

Mr. Morgan: Is everybody good? I make a motion to approve.

On MOTION by Mr. Morgan, seconded by Mr. Walton, with all in favor, the Check Register, was approved.

Docusign Envelope ID: D6DEEC7A-AE48-8447-83AC-48B0647DA13C

ii. Balance Sheet and Income Statement

Mr. LeBrun: Behind that you have your unaudited financials. No action required on the Board's part. That just gives you your snapshot of the District's funds through February 28, 2026. Everything is trending pretty good. Like I said, no action required by the Board. We're at 91% assessments collected, so doing good there.

iii. General Election Qualifying Period and Procedure

Mr. LeBrun: The last thing I have is the general election qualifying period. Since this is an election year, 2026, we do have two seats that will be up for election in November. It's Matt's seat and Daniel's seat. So those two are the seats that expire in November 2026. This is on page 95, the last page of your agenda. The qualifying period is noon on Monday, June 8, 2026, through noon on Friday, June 12. So that's the time period when you have to register with the Supervisor of Elections. That's who runs the process. Two ways to do it. One is you pay a $25 qualifying fee and then provide your documents. Or you can go the petition route and get signatures. That one's a little lengthy and requires a little earlier deadline. If you have any questions on that, feel free to reach out to me. But like I said, that's listed there, and then you just have to turn in your documents during that qualifying.

Mr. Morgan: We still have one open seat, don't we?

Mr. LeBrun: We do have a vacant seat as well.

Mr. Morgan: Obviously, we want you two guys back, but if you know anybody else or any two people that want to be on the Board, please let us know.

Mr. LeBrun: You do have an open seat currently that can be filled at this point.

Mr. Walton: Do they have to live in Shingle Creek?

Mr. LeBrun: In the District.

Mr. Morgan: They have to live in the District boundary.

Mr. Walton: Okay. I've got some neighbors.

Mr. LeBrun: Awesome because we can appoint them to that vacant seat right away.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Other Business

Mr. LeBrun: Is there any other business or Supervisor requests?

Docusign Envelope ID: D6DEEC7A-AE48-8447-83AC-48B0647DA13C

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Supervisor's Requests

There being no comments, the next item followed.

TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Adjournment

Mr. Morgan: I make a motion to adjourn.

On MOTION by Mr. Morgan, seconded by Mr. Walton, with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned.

Signed by:
Jeremy Lebrun
665CD7AA7BB8455...
Secretary / Assistant Secretary

DocuSigned by:
Rob Bonin
7C8754599973 40E...
Chairman / Vice Chairman